No, I Don't Care About Women's Soccer, Stop Nagging Me About It

Given their arrogant and unpatriotic attitude, the women's national soccer team seem to represent their own individual egos more than our nation. Paradoxically, they demand more pay while giving Americans more reasons to not support women's soccer.

U.S. national women's team is using the "platform" they've built to diss President Trump and conservative America.

The infamous midfielder Megan Rapinoe, who brought the kneeling-for-the-flag controversy into women's soccer, told
Yahoo Sports back in May, "I'll probably never put my hand over my heart... I'll probably never sing the national anthem again."

She has also made such charming statements as:

"I'm not going to the f— White House,"

And,

"I feel like it's kind of defiance in and of itself to just be who I am and wear the jersey, and represent it," she continues. "Because I'm as talented as I am, I get to be here, you don't get to tell me if I can be here or not. So it's kind of a good 'F you' to any sort of inequality or bad sentiments that the [Trump] administration might have towards people who don't look exactly like him."

Rapinoe makes it clear that this isn't just a protest against one presidential administration, this is a protest against all of conservative America. The team didn't go to the White House, but they are open to the idea of visiting several farleft Democrats in Congress. So much for being a national

soccer team.

We've also seen some <u>unsportsmanlike</u> (and <u>cringey</u>) bragging about their victory. But to be fair, no one would have had to watch these awful videos if liberal journalists didn't cringingly attempt to turn them into "moods." (Dear writers in your late twenties, young people don't talk like this anymore).

Still don't support them and their efforts to sue for #EqualPayNow? No? Well you're just another one of those non-woke flyover Americans.

These demands for equal pay have been going on for a while. The women's soccer team made several public <u>appearances</u> concerning a lawsuit for equal pay back during the 2015 world cup.

And of course, since 2015, journalists on liberal news sites have been white-knighting for the women's team, saying they ought to be paid more and attempting to prove that the women's team generates more revenue than the men's team.

From what I've seen all these arguments, from 2015 until now, twist the same, irrelevant datapoints to argue for equal pay. In 2015 and now in 2019, they've argued that because they brought in a few million more in revenue than the men's team during these particular years, they ought to be paid at least the same as the men's team.

When compared year by year, the women's team will generate more money in a Women's World Cup year than the men's team will generate during a year without a Men's world cup. If you look at the 4-year cycles, however, the numbers aren't even close.

According to an <u>article</u> in Forbes, the women's world cup tournament generated \$73 million in revenue. The men's world cup tournament in 2016 generated a whopping \$6.1 billion.

So, if the men's team runs over-budget preparing their players for the upcoming world cup, you can expect the women's team to claim that the men's team is losing money while they are raking in millions. If the men's and women's world cups happened to line up (which they don't of course, because then absolutely nobody would watch women's soccer) you wouldn't be hearing this irrational argument.

Arrogance, divisiveness, irrational demands, and a condescending tone toward flyover America. The women's soccer team doesn't make as much money because people don't watch women's soccer. Part of the reason people don't watch women's soccer, I assume, is because Americans aren't too enthusiastic about their unlikable team.

[Image Credit: Jamie Smed, Public Domain]