

The Myth Making of Antifa Intellectuals

[Mark Bray](#) is in his early thirties and the recipient of a Ph.D. in history from Rutgers in 2016. He is also rapidly becoming antifa's chief ideologue. Among his works describing or advocating for the often violent demonstrators are *Antifa: The Anti-Fascist Handbook* and *Translating Anarchy: The Anarchism of Occupy Wall Street*.

Bray has yet to find a major commercial press for his tracts and, so far as I can tell, remains a [lowly lecturer](#) at Dartmouth College. But he has presented his case for antifa's protest activities and the disruptive forms they have taken in, among other venues, [Foreign Policy](#), [The Washington Post](#), and [Boston Review](#). Bray was also invited to voice his views at the Politics and Prose bookstore in Washington, D.C., an honor usually reserved for intellectual and political celebrities. It was after watching Bray's [Politics and Prose address](#) and the remarks it elicited from the mostly "antifascist" audience that my editor at Northern Illinois University Press arrived at the idea that I should write a book on antifa's worldview.

After listening to the YouTube talk and then ordering Bray's *Handbook*, it occurred to me that there was nothing particularly interesting about what I was encountering. Despite its obvious incompatibility with the dominant political culture, the alt-right seems to have rallied philosophically deeper thinkers than the slogan-chanting adolescents who swarm around Bray. Alt-right [publications](#) regularly mention European rightist intellectuals like Alexander Dugin, Friedrich Nietzsche, and Giulio Evola, and however tendentiously those sources are used, their interpreters do give the impression of having read something serious. By contrast, all that I took away from Bray

and his groupies were their attacks on “neo-Nazis” and “fascists,” plus their habit of using both epithets to refer to Republicans and others who disagree with them.

It's not that Bray never tries to relate his incipient movement to earlier traditions of thought and activism. He brings up the communists and anarchists of the early 20th century and tries to extend a line of continuity between them and antifa. He ritualistically denounces corporate capitalism, a stand he may be using as the primary link between himself and an older Left. Bray is also fond of comparing present-day America under President Donald Trump to the European civil wars of the 1930s, between the “Left” and “fascism.” When Richard Cohen of The Southern Poverty Law Center objected that he was encouraging violence on *Meet the Press*, [Bray responded](#):

During the '30s and '40s, there was no public opinion to being leveraged by non-violent resistance. If you get fascist to be powerful enough in government, they're simply not gonna listen to the kind of public opinion that non-violence can generate. That's the argument for resistance to Nazis.... [I]t's a privileged position to be able to say that you never have to defend yourself from these kinds of monsters.

To an intellectual historian like myself, the most striking part of Bray's advocacy is that his politics has zilch to do with the troubled decade that he highlights. Pace Bray, Trump is neither Hitler nor Mussolini. Moreover, Bray's Left has nothing in common with the Left that existed in interwar Europe. His field and passion are gender studies and “fighting racism.” These were hardly the major interests of anarchists and Marxists in the 1930s. Back then the Left was serious about a socio-economic revolution and showed no noticeable interest in identity politics or LGBT self-expression. It may even be a bit of a stretch to relate antifa activism to the protests in the 1960s that I personally witnessed. The demonstrators at that time opposed a prolonged war in Vietnam

into which they might be personally dragged; others protested segregation, which really existed in some parts of the country. What similarly deep cause is driving Bray and his allies? I can't seem to find one other than seizing power or simply letting off steam.

This is not to pick on Bray and the antifa in particular. As with those who represent our current ideologies, e.g., conservatism and liberalism, Bray calls to mind certain Mafia myth makers of years past in the way he creates a desired pedigree for his enterprise. Cosa Nostra came into existence in New Orleans after the Civil War. Although its members found predecessors in gangs that had [ravaged the old country](#) since the early 19th century, modern Mafiosi claim that their fraternity goes back to the Middle Ages. According to [Mafia legend](#), its organization helped oust the French occupants of Sicily, an effort that started during the Sicilian Vespers in 1282 and was [memorialized](#) in Verdi's opera "I Vespri Siciliani." The Mafia then supposedly rallied to King Peter of Aragon, who, following the expulsion of the French, annexed Sicily to his kingdom.

This genealogy is manufactured whole cloth, in the same way that our political movements devise their own dubious pedigrees. Thus our present-day liberals claim to be descended from Jefferson or, if pressed for a 20th-century progenitor, FDR. Similarly our conservatives claim a lineage going back to Edmund Burke or to some other long dead figure. In point of fact, our current ideologies are of recent origin and continue to change. But their adherents like to imagine that their ideas extend back many generations, and so their bearers exert themselves looking for usable but often invented histories.

What Bray is doing is inventing a pedigree for a Johnny-come-lately movement that he is trying to link to the historic Left. He has made a career out of doing this, and given the popularity of what he is selling in both our media and universities, he has gone a long way with very little. The

question is how much longer can he pull this off.

—

Paul Gottfried is Raffensperger Professor of Humanities Emeritus at Elizabethtown College, where he taught for 25 years. He is a Guggenheim recipient and a Yale Ph.D. He is the author of 13 books, most recently [Fascism: Career of a Concept and Revisions and Dissents](#). This [article](#) has been republished with permission from The American Conservative.

[Image Credit: Flickr-cantfightthetendies [CC BY 2.0](#)]