If Infanticide is Wrong, is Abortion Wrong?

Should we abandon arguments for abortion if they also permit infanticide? Two US-based academics say "yes".

In a new paper in the journal <u>Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics</u>, philosophers David and Rose Hershenov suggest that non-conscious fetuses and minimally conscious neonates are morally equivalent in their fundamental interests, namely, their shared interest in "healthy development".

Insofar as we see infanticide as wrong, we should, therefore, see abortion as wrong. The authors assert that "Mindless organisms only have interests in healthy development or proper functioning and the flourishing that involves". Even if this is a fairly basic interest, it is nevertheless, morally important and worthy of respect: "...When it is mindless, there is probably nothing else to its good than its health, i.e., its proper functioning is constitutive of its flourishing. But that is still very valuable and is why infanticide is a great wrong."

The authors continue with the pointed remark, "as long as one's attitude is that infanticide is a great harm and wrong, even if it is not as bad as killing the reader, then abortion too is a great harm and wrong".

Importantly, the authors argue that several well-rehearsed arguments for abortion also lead us to endorse infanticide. The two philosophers assert that arguments about viability, consciousness and equality can all be reconfigured into arguments that allow for the killing of newborns.

In 2013 two Australian authors, Alberto Giubilini and Francesca Minerva, made headlines around the world after publishing a paper in the <u>Journal of Medical Ethics</u> that

argued for the permissibility of infanticide. The controversy surrounding the Giubilini and Minerva paper generated spirited discussion in the world of bioethics about academic freedom and the role of bioethics in challenging our moral intuitions.

_

This article was republished with permission from Bioedge.org. Read the original article here.

Dear Readers,

Big Tech is suppressing our reach, refusing to let us advertise and squelching our ability to serve up a steady diet of truth and ideas. Help us fight back by <u>becoming a member</u> for just \$5 a month and then join the discussion on Parler <u>@CharlemagneInstitute</u> and Gab <u>@CharlemagneInstitute</u>!

Image Credit:

Flickr via Donnie Ray Jones