

# Trump's Big Bet on Career and Technical Education

President Donald Trump has [proposed](#) one of the largest increases in funding for career and technical education in recent history.

As a [education policy researcher](#) who studies the economic and employment impact of career and technical education in high school, I believe this proposal has a lot of potential to open up new job opportunities, especially for students who might not want to go to college – or not right away.

[My research](#) has found that the best investment in career and technical education is when it's targeted toward schools that design all instruction around developing career paths, say, as an electrician or as a nurse's assistant. Career and technical education can also [improve](#) high school graduation and employment when it is integrated with core subjects and offers work-based learning.

## Spending would double

The White House wants to [nearly double](#) the total federal commitment to provide states with funds for career and technical education – from about U.S. \$1.2 billion in the current fiscal year to about \$2.1 billion for fiscal 2021.

This proposal marks the first time in more than 20 years that the federal investment in career and technical education could change in a meaningful way after [declining](#) for the last two decades.

For instance, in 2004, total funding through the Carl D. Perkins Act – the federal law that deals with career and technical education spending – was [\\$1.7 billion](#). By fiscal

2020 it had dropped to [\\$1.2 billion](#). Adjusting for inflation makes the drop even larger.

Student [participation in career and technical education](#) had also declined during the era of No Child Left Behind, the 2002 federal law that required increasing the percentage of students proficient in math and reading by 2014. Meanwhile, an emphasis on testing [dominated](#) education policy during the same time period, which maintained focus on tested subjects like math and reading, and less on career development.

The proposal also calls for allocating \$83 million to competitive grants to states. Proposing competitive grants suggests that the administration will look to fund states with the most innovative proposals. This is in contrast to just giving out money based on how many students a state may serve, which is how most of the federal funds for technical education are allocated.

Trump also wants to [double](#) fees associated with H1-B [visas](#) – visas that allow for the hiring temporary workers from abroad with high skills that are in short supply in the U.S. This hike could raise an additional \$100 million or more. The idea here seems to be to use revenue collected from programs that use talent from abroad to invest in educating students here in the United States.

## Whole-school models?

[Research](#) shows that students who take three or more courses in one career pathway earn more money in the decade after high school than similar students who did not take a group of related career and technical education courses.

This [research](#) cannot fully explain why these earning gains occur. However, it could be that the [specific skills](#) that students gain in career and technical education are likely to be rewarded with higher wages if those skills are in demand.

By comparison, the only technical education programs that [research has shown](#) lead to improved graduation rates and higher wages are whole-school models.

Whole-school approaches to technical education are where all students participate in some form of vocational or technical education.

Most students have access to technical education at traditional [high schools or centers](#) that are shared across school districts and where students spend part of their school day. This is the most common way students access technical education in the U.S. and where expansion is therefore most likely to occur. But, there is no strong evidence that these models are effective in improving high school graduation or employment or earnings after school. All of the best evidence comes from specialized high schools like those in [Connecticut](#) and [Massachusetts](#), or from [career academies](#) which combine academic and technical coursework and organize it around a common theme.

But fewer than five percent of the nation's students have [access to a specialized career and technical education school](#). If innovation grants were designed to induce increased access to specialized career and technical education high schools or high-quality [career academies](#), it could be money well spent.

—

*This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).*

*[Image Credit: Flickr-doe-oakridge, public domain]*